



31761100838523

TORTS

SUPPLEMENTARY CASEBOOK

S.M. Makuch

1978 - 1979

Store
KF
1249
M35
1978



FACULTY OF LAW LIBRARY

UNIVERSITY OF TORONTO LIBRARIES

1978

1979

1979

1979

TORTS

SUPPLEMENTARY CASEBOOK

S.M. Makuch

1978 - 1979



Digitized by the Internet Archive
in 2018 with funding from
University of Toronto

<https://archive.org/details/tortssupplementa00maku>

SUPPLEMENTARY CASEBOOK

TORTS

Index

NOTE: * Denotes additional reading not reproduced in this Supplement

CHAPTER 1 Introduction

CHAPTER 2 Intentional Interference With the Person and Property

A. Intent

B. Battery

* *Lawson v. Wellesly Hospital* (1976), 9 O.R. (2d) 677

C. Assault

* *Miers, "The Ontario Criminal Injuries Compensation Scheme"* (1974) 24 U.T.L.J. 347

* *Re Sheehan v. Criminal Injuries Compensation Board* (1974), 5 O.R. (2d) 731

* *Nelson v. Sneed*, [1976] 1 W.W.R. 360

* *R. v. Zelinsky* (1977), C.R.N.S. 169

D. Intentional Infliction of Mental Suffering

E. False Imprisonment

F. Intentional Interference with Land

G. Intentional Interference with Chattels

* *Check v. Andrews Hotel* (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 364

CHAPTER 3 Defences to Intentional Torts

A. Consent

<i>Schweizer v. Central Hospital</i>	1
<i>Koehler v. Cook</i>	11
<i>Reibl v. Hughes</i>	
* <i>Kelly v. Hazlett</i> (1976), 1 C.C.L.T. 1	
* <i>Hart v. Brown</i> (1972), 289 A. 2nd 386	
* <i>Re D. (A Minor)</i> , [1976] 1 All E.R. 326	
* <i>Gorback v. Ting</i> (1975), 62 D.L.R. (3d) 134	
* <i>Family Law Reform Act Stat. Ont. 1975</i> , s. 1(3)(a).	

B. Self Defence

<i>Check v. Andrews Hotel Co. Ltd. et al.</i>	25
<i>Gambriell v. Caparelli</i>	34
* <i>Bennet v. Dapke</i> , [1973] V.R. 239	

C. Defence of Property

D. Necessity

* <i>Bell Canada v. The Ship "Mar-Tirenno"</i> et al (1975), 52 D.L.R. (3d) 702	
--	--

E. Legal Authority

R. v. Biron	38
* Reynen v. Antonenko (1975), 54 D.L.R. (3d) 124	
* Romilly v. Weatherhead (1975), 55 D.L.R. (3d) 507	
* Scott v. The Queen (1975), 61 D.L.R. (3d) 130	
* Delta Hotels v. Magrum (1976), 52 D.L.R. (3d) 126	

CHAPTER 4 Negligence: The Standard of CareA. Unreasonable Risk

* Elverson v. Doctor's Hospital (1975), 49 D.L.R. (3d) 196	
* Hogan v. McEwan (1976), 64 D.L.R. (3d) 37	
* Neufeld v. Landry (1975), 55 D.L.R. (3d) 29b	

B. The Reasonable Person

Bishop et al. v. Sharow et al.	48
Teno et al. v. Arnold et al.	51
* R. v. Cote (1975), 51 D.L.R. (3d) 244 (S.C.C.)	
* Mattinson v. Wonnacott (1976), 8 O.R. (2d) 654	

C. Custom

* James v. River East School Div. & Peniuk, [1976] 2 W.W.R. 577	
* Karderas v. Clow, [1973] 1 O.R. 730	

D. The Mentally DeficientE. The Young

* Ryan v. Hickson (1975), 7 O.R. (2d) 352	
* Teno v. Arnold (1975), 7 O.R. (2d) 276	

F. Professional Negligence

* Cady v. Tenderenda (1975), 51 D.L.R. (3d) 79	
* Chubey v. Ahsan (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 231	
* Hauck v. Dixon (1976), 10 O.R. (3d) 605	
* Banks v. Reid (1975), 6 O.R. (2d) 404	
* Parsons v. Shmok (1976), 58 D.L.R. (3d) 622	
* Banks v. Reid (1977), 4 C.C.L.T. 1 (O.C.A.) (See also Annotation therewith)	

G. Degrees of Negligence

* Goulais v. Restoule (1975), 48 D.L.R. (3d) 285	
* Engler v. Rossignol (1976), 10 O.R. (2d) 721	

H. Necessity of Damage

* Merrill v. Fisher (1976), 10 O.R. (2d) 684	
--	--

CHAPTER 5 Proof of NegligenceA. The Onus of Proof

* Teece v. Honeybourn (1975), 54 D.L.R. (3d) 549	
* Goshen v. Larin (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 719	

B. Res Ipsa Loquitur: Origin and Scope

- Hobson v. Munkley
- * Carter v. Steelgas (1975), 52 D.L.R. (3d) 377
- * Tataryn v. Co-Operative Trust Co. of Can. (1975), 54 D.L.R. (3d) 154

56

C. Procedural Effects of Res Ipsa Loquitur

- * Interprovincial Pipelines v. Seller's Oil (1976), 58 D.L.R. (3d) 719
- * A.-G. Can. v. Diamond Waterproofing Ltd. (1974), 4 O.R. (2d) 489
- * Wilcox v. Cavan (1975), 50 D.L.R. (3d) 687
- * Girard v. Royal Columbian Hospital (1976), 65 D.L.R. (3d) 676
- * G.I.O. of N.S.W. v. Fredrichberg (1968), 118 C.L.R. 403

D. Extensions of Res Ipsa Loquitur

- * MacDonald v. Woodward (1974), 2 O.R. 438

CHAPTER 6 Negligence and Violation of Criminal StatutesA. Why Courts Rely on Penal StatutesB. When Civil Courts Rely on Penal Statutes: The Limitations

- * Maynes v. Galicz (1976), 62 D.L.R. (3d) 385

C. How Civil Courts Use Penal Statutes: Procedural Effects1. The Possible Alternatives2. Prima Facie Evidence of Negligence

- * Jordan v. Coleman (1976), 57 D.L.R. (3d) 256
- * School Div. of Assiniboine No. 3 v. Hoffer et al. (1970), 16 D.L.R. (3d) 703

3. Negligence Per Se

- * Curll v. Robin Hood Mullifoods (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 129
- * Le Mesurier v. Union Gas (1976), 57 D.L.R. (3d) 344
- * Heimler v. Calvert Caterers (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 643
- * Stavast v. Ludwar, [1974] 5 W.W.R. 380
- * Hill v. National Coal Board (1976), S.L.T. 261
- * Abbott v. Kasza, [1976] 4 W.W.R. 20
- * Wearing v. Pirelli Ltd., [1977] 1 W.L.R. 48 (H.L.)

D. Compliance with the Statute

- * Paskiveski v. Canadian Pacific (1976), 57 D.L.R. (3d) 280 (S.C.C.)

CHAPTER 7 DutyA. The Concept of Duty Generally

- * McRea v. White Rock (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 525
- * Sydney County Council v. Dell 'Oro (1974), 4 A.L.R. 417

B. The Unforeseeable Plaintiff

- * Family Law Reform Act, 1975 S.O. s. 4

C. Failure to Act1. "Nonfeasance" and "Misfeasance"

- * O'Donnell v. R., [1972] F.C.R. 966
- * O.H.S.C. v. Borsoki (1975), 54 D.L.R. (3d) 339
- * Sturdy et al. v. R. (1974), 47 D.L.R. (3d) 71

2. Undertakings and Reliance

- * Nord-Deutsche v. The Queen, [1971] S.C.R. 849
- * County of Parkland v. Stetar, [1975] 2 S.C.R. 884
- * Earl v. Bourdon et al. (1975), 65 D.L.R. (3d) 646

3. Impact of Criminal Legislation

- * Schacht v. The Queen (1975), 55 D.L.R. (3d) 96
- * W.C.B. v. Canada (1977), 15 N.R. 181 (Fed. C.A.)
- * The Queen v. Cote (1975), 51 D.L.R. (3d) 244 (S.C.C.)

4. Possible SolutionsCHAPTER 8 CausationA. Causation in Fact

- | | |
|--|----|
| Kolesar v. Jeffries | 59 |
| * Joseph Brant Memorial Hospital v. Koziol (1977),
2 C.C.L.T. 170 (S.C.C.). | |
| * Weinrib, "A Step Forward in Factual Causation"
(1975) 38 Mod. L. Rev. 518 | |
| * Ray Judge Co. Ltd. v. Norris et al. (1973), 37
D.L.R. (3d) 241 | |

B. Multiple Causes

- * Berns v. Campbell (1976), 8 O.R. 680
- * Michie et al. v. Great Lakes Steel Div. et al.
(1974), 495 F. 2d 213

CHAPTER 9 Remoteness and Proximate CauseA. The Alternative ApproachesB. Retreat from Wagon Mound (No. 1)1. The Thin-Skull Problem

- * Marconato v. Franklin, [1974] 6 W.W.R. 676
- * Elverson v. Doctors Hospital (1975), 49 D.L.R.
(3d) 196
- * Stephenson v. Waits Teleman Ltd., [1973] 1
N.Z.L.R. 152
- * Murphy v. McCarthy (1974), 9 S.A.S.R. 424

2. Type of Damage3. Possibility of Damage

- * Abbott v. Kasza, [1975] 3 W.W.R. 163
- * Prasad v. Prasad (1975), 54 D.L.R. (3d) 451

C. Intervening Forces

- * Goodyear Tire v. MacDonald (1975), 61 D.L.R. (3d) 623

D. Recurring Situations1. Rescue

- A.G. Ontario et al. v. Crompton 61
- * Holomis v. Dubuc (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 351

2. Second Accident

- * Ward v. Palamarchuk & Pelech, [1977] 6 W.W.R. 193 (Alta. S.C.)

3. Medical Mishaps

- Kolesar v. Jeffires 65
- * Thompson v. Toorenburgh 50 D.L.R. (3d) 717
- * Robinson v. Post Office, [1974] 2 All E.R. 737
- * Papp v. Leclerc (1977), 16 O.R. (2d) 158 (C.A.)

E. Shifting ResponsibilityCHAPTER 10 Imperfectly Protected InterestsA. Nervous Shock

- * Rowe v. McCartney, [1975] 1 N.S.W.L.R. 544
- * Fenn v. Peterborough (1976), 1 C.C.L.T. ()
- * Benson v. Lee, [1972] V.R. 879
- * Taylor v. Weston Bakeries Ltd., [1976] 1 C.C.L.T. 158

B. Economic Losses

- Groves-Raffin v. Bank of Nova Scotia 72
- Caltex Oil (Aust.) Pty. Ltd. v. The Dredge "Willemstad" 75
- * Hunt v. Johnstone Co. (1971) 12 O.R. (2d) 623
- Gypsum Carrier Inc. v. The Queen 106

C. Negligent Statements

- Haig v. Bamford 119
- Sealand of the Pacific v. Robert McHaffie Ltd. 126
- Hodgins v. Hydro-Electric Commission of the Twp. of Nepean 131
- Zahara v. Hood and Royal Bank of Canada 133
- Esso Petroleum Co. Ltd. v. Mardon 135
- * Moorgate v. Twitchings, [1976] 3 W.L.R. 66
- * Holman Construction v. Delta Timer Co., [1970] N.Z.L.R. 1081
- * Northwestern Mutual Ins. v. J.T. O'Bryan & Co. (1975), 51 D.L.R. (3d) 693
- * Sodd Corp. v. Tesois (1977), 2 C.C.L.T. 245 (C.A.)
- * Elderkin v. Merrill Lynch (1977), 22 N.S.R. (2d) 218 (C.A.)

CHAPTER 11 The Conduct of the PlaintiffA. Contributory Negligence

- * Taylor v. Asopy (1975), 49 D.L.R. (3d) 724
- * Steiner v. Lawon, [1977] 5 W.W.R. 628 (B.C.S.C.)

B. The Seat Belt Defence

- Froom and Others v. Butcher 147
- * Highway Traffic Amendment Act, 1975 S.O. adding s. 63(a)

- * Gagnon v. Beaulieu, Fraser Valley Frosted Foods Ltd. and Jones, [1977] 1 W.W.R. 702

C. Voluntary Assumption of Risk

- * Bennett v. Tugwell, [1971] 2 All E.R. 248

1. Sports

- * Reese v. Coleman, [1976] 2 W.W.R. 739
- * White v. Blackmore, [1972] 3 All E.R. 158

2. Willing Passengers

- * Macdonald v. Dagleish et al., [1973] 2 O.R. 826
- * Owens v. Brimmell, [1976] 3 All E.R. 765
- * Pepper v. Hoover (1976), 71 D.L.R. (3d) 129
- * Kinney v. Haveman, [1977] 1 W.W.R. 405.

D. Illegality

152

- Teece v. Honeybourn
- * Smith v. Jenkins (1970), 119 C.L.R. 397
- * Craft v. Stocky Parkes Pty., [1975] 2 N.S.W.L.R. 156
- * Weinrib, Illegality as a Tort Defence (1976) 26 U.T.L.J. 28
- * Progress and Properties v. Craft (1977) 51 A.L.J.R. 184 (H.C.)

CHAPTER 12 Strict Liability

A. Origin and Scope

1. Non-Natural User

- * Cruise v. Niessin, [1977] 2 W.W.R. 481
- * Br. Celanese v. Hunt Ltd., [1969] 2 All E.R. 1252
- * Mason v. Levy Auto Parts, [1967] 2 Q.B. 530
- * A.G. Can. v. Diamond Waterproofing Ltd. (1974), 4 O.R. (2d) 489

2. Escape

- * Frederic v. Perpetual Investments (1969), 2 D.L.R. (3d) 50
- * Dunne v. N.W. Gas Board, [1964] 2 Q.B. 806
- * Benning v. Wong (1969), 43 A.L.J.R. 467
- * Carmel Holdings Ltd. v. Atkins, [1977] 4 W.W.R. 655 (B.C.S.C.)

B. Defences

- * Savage v. Wilby, [1954] S.C.R. 576

CHAPTER 13 Products Liability

A. Contract Theory

- * Peters v. Parkway Mercury Sales (1976), 58 D.L.R. (3d) 128

B. Tort Theory

- * Smith v. Inglis Ltd. (1978), 25 N.S.R. (2d) 38

C. What Products?

D. What Defendants

- * Cohen v. Coca-Cola Ltd., [1967] S.C.R. 469

E. Intermediate Inspection

- * Direct Warehousing v. John Clouston Ltd.
(1977), N & P.E.I.R. 122

F. Standard of Care

- * Willis v. F.M.C. Machinery & Chemicals Ltd.
(1976), 68 D.L.R. (3d) 127
- * Wright v. Dunlop Rubber (1973), 13 K.I.R. 255

1. Violations of Statute

- * Curll v. Robin Hood Multifoods (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 129
- * Heimler v. Calvert Caterers (1975), 56 D.L.R. (3d) 643

2. Warning

- * Austin v. 3M (1975), 7 O.R. (2d) 200
- * Schmitz v. Stoveld et al. (1976), 11 O.R. (2d) 17

3. Extent of Liability4. Negligence in Design

- * Lemesurier v. Union Gas Co. (1976), 8 O.R. (2d) 152

G. Strict Liability in TortCHAPTER 14 Assessment of Damages for Personal Injuries and DeathA. General PrinciplesB. The Tools of AssessmentC. General Damages

Teno v. Arnold	158
Andrews v. Grand & Toy Alberta Ltd.	165

D. Psychic LossesE. Collateral Sources

- * Zalameda v. Pigozza 14 O.R. (2d) 716 (O.H.C.)

F. Fatal Accidents

- * Keizer v. Hanna & Bach 3 C.C.L.T. 316 (S.C.C.)